

Case Example: Facility Operations

Facility operations are a “stepchild” in many organizations. However, this group of categories frequently offers unusually rich opportunities for performance improvement.

Reducing Facility Operations Costs

Disguised Client Example

<u>Situation</u>	<u>Approach</u>	<u>Results</u>
<ul style="list-style-type: none">■ Client had several hundred sites, and was not aware of the full extent of facility operational costs, or its position relative to benchmarks and market alternatives■ Client self-performed many services, and hundreds of suppliers provided service components.■ Most internal and external suppliers did not have written specifications or contracts.■ Most supplier work was performed on a “cost-plus” basis.■ Client had no standard specifications for service levels.■ Accountability was diffused, and client had no mechanism to measure or monitor performance.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">■ Determined facility operations costs to be \$30.4MM per year (before energy expenses and capital expenditures), and segmented by component.■ Identified target benchmark levels, by category.■ Developed modular performance-based service level specification standards, and framed a rate card system to synchronize service level and respective cost components.■ Identified a range of internal and external service delivery alternatives, and competitively sourced and outsourced service elements and accountabilities.■ Reengineered overall function and service components, and clarified accountabilities.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">■ Put in place modular specifications and competitive market-based rate card pricing for service components, that allow client to effectively modulate services and costs according to demand fluctuations.■ Implemented standard contracts and explicit costs for service level components in lieu of cost-plus arrangements.■ Implemented effective planning, measurement, monitoring, incentives, and demand management tools.■ Reduced annual costs for facility operations by \$7.6MM (25%).■ Clarified accountabilities, and installed performance monitoring and continuous performance improvement process.